

Perioperative anxiety and depression among adults undergoing elective surgery: a cross-sectional survey

Amira Aishah **Che Ani**¹, T. Kumaravadivel **Dharmalingam**², Noor Azura **Che Senapi**¹, Puteri Siti Zaharah **Basir**¹, Mohamad Ehsan **Abd Halin**¹

¹Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Tuanku Fauziah, Perlis, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Malaysia; ²Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Teluk Intan, Perak, Ministry of Health Malaysia, Malaysia

Abstract

Introduction: Perioperative anxiety and depression are common disorders worldwide. They are not only associated with significant surgical and anaesthetic morbidity, but also mortality. Hence, we aim to determine the prevalence and risk factors associated with perioperative anxiety and depression in a single study centre. *Methods:* This cross-sectional study was conducted from April 1 to August 31 2022, among patients undergoing elective surgery in Hospital Tuanku Fauziah, Perlis. Patients scheduled for perioperative assessment in the Anaesthesiology clinic were invited to participate. The validated Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (M-HADS) was used to assess anxiety and depression symptoms before the scheduled surgery. Multiple logistic regression was performed to determine the associated factors to perioperative anxiety and depression.

Results: A total of 340 participants were included in analysis: 15.6% scored significant perioperative depression and 17.4% scored significant perioperative anxiety. High levels of self-rated fear were significantly associated with both anxiety (adjusted OR: 27.46, 95% CI: 5.37, 140.38) and depression (adjusted OR: 2.81, 95% CI: 1.08, 7.27).

Correspondence: Dr. Amira Aishah Che Ani, MMed(Anaesthesiology), Department of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care, Hospital Tuanku Fauziah, Jalan Tun Abdul Razak 01000 Kangar, Perlis, Malaysia.

E-mail: miranomey@yahoo.com

Otherwise, there were no demographic risk factors associated with perioperative anxiety, while male gender was found to be predictive of perioperative depression (adjusted OR: 2.08, 95% CI: 0.36, 12.15).

Conclusions: Our study found that 1 in every 6 patients undergoing surgery suffers from perioperative anxiety or depression. Self-rated fear was the independent risk factor for perioperative anxiety, while gender and self-rated fear were independent risk factors for perioperative depression. Hence, effective screening strategies to detect patients at risk of perioperative anxiety or depression is essential for appropriate risk stratification and intervention.

Keywords: elective surgery, fear, perioperative anxiety, perioperative depression

Introduction

The global prevalence of perioperative anxiety ranges from 48 to 60%.^{1,2} Asians had the second highest prevalence worldwide after Africans. As for perioperative depression, the prevalence varies across different surgical specialities ranging from 9.3% to 31.3%.^{3,4} These mental disorders do not only have negative psychophysiological adverse effects on the patients, but also come with direct implications on the surgical and anaesthetic outcome.

Studies have shown perioperative anxiety is associated with haemodynamic instabilities during induction,⁵ increased risk of bronchospasm,⁶ delayed extubation, and prolonged hospital stay.⁷ Anxiety can also increase acute postoperative pain,^{8,9} increase analgesic consumption,⁷ and increase the risk of developing chronic postsurgical pain.¹⁰ On the other hand, perioperative depression can lead to adverse cardiovascular outcomes and cognitive function declines such as poor memory and prolonged delirium.⁸ The consequences of perioperative anxiety and depression are not only confined to morbidity and poor surgical outcome. They are also associated with increased mortality risk,¹¹ particularly for elderly patients.¹²

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is a self-screening questionnaire developed by Zigmond and Snaith¹³ and is used as a research tool in this study. This psychometric instrument was designed to assess and diagnose the severity of two psychological distress variables: anxiety and depression. This self-assessment scale is widely used for psychopathological comorbidity and has been employed in various clinical and non-clinical settings. HADS is a short questionnaire consisting of 14 items: 7 items were constructed to assess anxiety and the remaining 7 items for depression. Three stages of anxiety and depression are classified based on predetermined cut-off scores.

Even though anxiety and depression may cause many unwanted perioperative consequences, not much attention and effort have been given to screen for these conditions in clinical settings. To the best of our knowledge, there have been no published studies on the prevalence of perioperative anxiety and depression as well as their associated risk factors in Malaysia.

Methods

Setting and design

This prospective cross-sectional study was conducted among patients undergoing elective surgery in our hospital between April 1 and August 31, 2022.

Population

Our sampling frame included patients scheduled for perioperative assessment in the Anaesthesiology clinic one day before the scheduled operation. Those aged 18 years and above, with Malaysian citizenship, able to read and write in Bahasa Malaysia, and not diagnosed with any mental illness or neurological disorders (*i.e.*, learning disabilities or dementia) were invited to participate, while those who refused to participate were excluded. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants.

Data collection

The data collection form was designed in 2 sections: (i) baseline sociodemographic characteristics and (ii) assessment of hospital anxiety and depression. The information collected for baseline sociodemographic characteristics included age, presence of past surgical history, presence of comorbidities, education level, and rating of self-rated fear of the upcoming surgery. Self-rated fear levels were determined using a self-rated numerical scale:

- 1: no fear
- 2: mild fear
- 3: moderate fear
- 4: severe fear.

Assessment for hospital anxiety and depression was performed using a validated M-HADS in Malay language (Appendix).¹⁴ This psychometric instrument was designed to measure and detect the severity of 2 psychological distress variables: anxiety and depression.^{13,14} In this psychometric instrument, 7 items were constructed to assess anxiety and the remaining 7 items for depression. Three levels of anxiety and depression are classified based on a predetermined cut-off score. A total score of 0–7 indicates normal; 8–10 indicates borderline abnormal, while 11–21 represents an abnormal level of anxiety and depression among participants.

HADS is considered a valid and reliable tool. Cronbach's α coefficient for both domains (HADS-Anxiety and HADS-Depression) was 0.82.¹⁵ This self-assessment scale is widely used for psychopathological comorbidity and has been employed in various clinical and non-clinical settings.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 26.0. Descriptive statistics was used to illustrate the baseline demographic, clinical characteristics and the reasons for fear cited among the study subjects.

A mixed-effect logistic regression was performed to determine the factors associated with perioperative depression and anxiety. Variables with p < .25 from simple logistic regression were selected for multiple logistic regression (MLR) using Enter method. Omnibus tests of model coefficients (p < .001) in MLR determined the new model is explaining more of the variance in the outcome, hence is significantly better than the baseline model. Nagelkerke's R² explained the percentage of variations explained in the outcome, while Hosmer and Lemeshow test the overall goodness-of-fit. A probability value (p-value) of less than .05 indicates statistical significance.

Sample size

The sample size was calculated by using G Power 3.1. The main objective was to determine the risk factors for perioperative anxiety and depression; hence, a proportion formula was set to calculate the sample size. An effect size of 0.1 was determined from previous published data where females were found to have 1.66 increased odds for perioperative anxiety as compared to males, in a proportion of 0.721.¹⁵ Therefore, with α set at 0.05 in a two-tailed test, and power of 0.80, we needed to study 180 samples. With an additional of 20% dropout rate or heavily missing data, the minimum sample size required was 216 samples.

Ethical approval

The study received ethical approval from the Medical Research and Ethics Committee (MREC) Malaysia (Ref: KKM/NIHSEC/P21-400(4)) and was registered in the National Medical Research Register of the Ministry of Health Malaysia (NMRR-20-258-58809).

Results

A total of 340 participants were included in analysis. There were no dropouts. The sociodemographic characteristics of the study participants are presented in Table 1. Table 2 presents the scheduled surgery characteristics and medical history of the study participants. Among our participants, 15.6% scored significant perioperative depression and 17.4% scored significant perioperative anxiety (Table 3). Comparison in baseline demography between patients with and without perioperative anxiety or depression is shown in Table 4.

The level of self-rated fear was the only statistically significant factor associated with perioperative anxiety (Table 5). After adjusting for age, gender, education level, previous surgical history, and surgical grade, individuals with high levels of self-rated fear had 27 times increased odds of having perioperative anxiety (95% CI: 5.37, 140.38) as compared to those with no self-rated fear.

Variable(s)	Mean ± SD
Age (years old)	38.9±13.34
Gender, n (%) Male Female	91 (26.8) 249 (73.2)
Highest education level, n (%) Primary Secondary Higher secondary Tertiary	23 (6.8) 165 (48.5) 89 (26.2) 63 (18.5)

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of study participants (N = 340)

Data expressed as mean ± standard deviation and frequency (%).

Variable	n (%)
Department Orthopaedics General surgery Obstetrics & gynaecology Oral maxillofacial surgery Otorhinolaryngology Ophthalmology	55 (16.2) 82 (24.1) 155 (45.6) 29 (8.5) 16 (4.7) 3 (0.9)
Elective surgical grade Major Intermediate Minor	72 (21.2) 127 (37.4) 141 (41.5)
Past surgical history No Yes	136 (40.0) 204 (60.0)
Past medical history No Yes	184 (54.1) 156 (45.9)
Diabetes mellitus No Yes	238 (70.0) 102 (30.0)
Hypertension No Yes	278 (81.8) 62 (18.2)
Dyslipidaemia No Yes	327 (96.2) 13 (3.8)
Ischaemic heart disease No Yes	331 (99.1) 9 (2.6)
Chronic kidney disease No Yes	337 (99.1) 3 (0.9)
Bronchial asthma No Yes	321 (94.4) 19 (5.6)
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease No Yes	340 (100.0) 0 (0.0)

Table 2. Scheduled surgery characteristics and medical history of study participants (N = 340)

Table 3. Self-rated fear level, and depression and anxiety level and scores of study participants (N = 340)

Variable	n (%)
Self-rated fear level	
None	59 (17.4)
Low	178 (52.4)
Moderate	86 (25.3)
High	11 (3.2)
Unsure	6 (1.8)
Depression score	3.8 ± 3.46
Depression level	
< 8	287 (84.4)
≥8	53 (15.6)
Anxiety score	4.8 ± 3.13
Anxiety level	
< 8	281 (82.6)
≥8	59 (17.4)

Table 4. Comparison in baseline demography between patients with and without perioperative anxiety or depression

Veriable	Perioperative anxiety			Perioperativ		
variable	Absent	Present	p-value"	Absent	Present	<i>p</i> -value [®]
Mean score	3.7 ± 2.17	9.7 ± 2.08	< 0.001*b	2.6 ± 2.11	10.2 ± 1.99	<0.001*b
Age (years)	39.2 ± 13.92	37.1 ± 10.06	0.263	38.3 ± 12.67	42.3 ± 16.25	0.094
Gender, n (%) Male Female	76 (83.5) 205 (82.3)	15 (16.5) 44 (17.7)	0.798	68 (74.7) 219 (88.0)	23 (25.3) 30 (12.0)	0.003*
Presence of past surgical history, n (%) Yes No	171 (83.8) 110 (80.9)	33 (16.2) 26 (19.1)	0.483	173 (84.8) 114 (83.8)	31 (15.2) 22 (16.2)	0.807
Education level, n (%) Primary Secondary Higher secondary Tertiary	19 (82.6) 138 (83.6) 75 (84.3) 49 (77.8)	4 (17.4) 27 (16.4) 14 (15.7) 14 (22.2)	0.725b	15 (65.2) 139 (84.2) 75 (84.3) 58 (92.1)	8 (34.8) 26 (15.8) 14 (15.7) 5 (7.9)	0.026*b
Self-rated fear level, n (%) None Low Moderate High Unsure	54 (91.5) 161 (90.4) 57 (66.3) 4 (36.4) 5 (83.3)	5 (8.5) 17 (9.6) 29 (33.7) 7 (63.6) 1 (16.7)	< 0.001*c	50 (84.7) 158 (88.8) 64 (74.4) 9 (81.8) 6 (100.0)	9 (15.3) 20 (11.2) 22 (25.6) 2 (18.2) 0 (0.0)	0.041*c

Data presented as mean ± standard deviation.

^aIndependent *t*-test; ^bPearson chi-square test of independence; ^cFisher's exact test

	Neenviety	Anviety	Simple logistic reg	gression	Multiple logistic regression		
Variable	n (%)	n (%)	Crude OR (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (years)	39.2 ± 13.92	37.1 ± 10.06	0.99 (0.97, 1.01)	0.263	0.98 (0.95, 1.01)	0.978	
Gender Male Female	76 (83.5) 205 (82.3)	15 (16.5) 44 (17.7)	0.92 (0.48, 1.75) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.798	1.01 (0.45, 2.30) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.973	
Education level Primary Secondary Higher secondary Tertiary	19 (82.6) 138 (83.6) 75 (84.3) 49 (77.8)	4 (17.4) 27 (16.4) 14 (15.7) 14 (22.2)	0.74 (0.22, 2.52) 0.69 (0.33, 1.41) 0.65 (0.29, 1.49) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.728	2.13 (0.46, 9.88) 0.59 (0.26, 1.36) 0.55 (0.22, 1.38) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.178	
Previous surgical history No Yes	110 (80.9) 171 (83.8)	26 (19.1) 33 (16.2)	1.23 (0.70, 2.16) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.483	0.89 (0.45, 1.74) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.727	
Surgical grade Major Intermediate Minor	60 (83.3) 107 (84.3) 114 (80.9)	12 (16.7) 20 (15.7) 27 (19.1)	0.84 (0.40, 1.79) 0.79 (0.42, 1.49) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.753	0.74 (0.30, 1.82) 0.62 (0.28, 1.38) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.492	
Self-rated fear level None Low Moderate High	54 (91.5) 161 (90.4) 57 (66.3) 4 (36.4)	5 (8.5) 17 (9.6) 29 (33.7) 7 (63.6)	1.00 (Ref.) 1.14 (0.40, 3.24) 5.50 (1.98, 15.23) 18.9 (4.08, 87.50)	< 0.001*	1.00 (Ref.) 1.38 (0.46, 4.13) 7.75 (2.54, 23.65) 27.46 (5.37, 140.38)	< 0.001*	

Table 5.	Regression	analyses of	on factors	associated	with	preo	perative	anxiety
								· · · · · ·

*Statistically significant.

Variable	No depression	Depression n (%)	Simple logistic re	gression	Multiple logistic regression	
	n (%)		Crude OR p-value (95% CI)		Adjusted OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)	38.3 ± 12.67	42.3 ± 16.25	1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	0.047*	0.99 (0.97, 1.02)	0.891
Gender Male Female	68 (74.7) 219 (88.0)	23 (25.3) 30 (12.0)	2.47 (1.35, 4.53) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.004*	2.27 (1.08, 4.74) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.030*
Education level Primary Secondary Higher secondary Tertiary	15 (65.2) 139 (84.2) 75 (84.3) 58 (92.1)	8 (34.8) 26 (15.8) 14 (15.7) 5 (7.9)	6.19 (1.77, 21.57) 2.17 (0.79, 5.93) 2.17 (0.74, 6.36) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.038*	5.60 (1.27, 24.63) 1.93 (0.68, 5.46) 1.66 (0.54, 5.08) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.143
Previous surgical history No Yes	114 (83.8) 173 (84.8)	22 (16.2) 31 (15.2)	1.08 (0.59, 1.95) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.807	0.93 (0.48, 1.80) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.826
Surgical grade Major Intermediate Minor	57 (79.2) 112 (88.2) 118 (83.7)	15 (20.8) 15 (11.8) 23 (16.3)	1.35 (0.66, 2.78) 0.69 (0.34, 1.38) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.236	1.56 (0.68, 3.59) 0.98 (0.43, 2.23) 1.00 (Ref.)	0.494
Self-rated fear level None Low Moderate High	50 (84.7) 158 (88.8) 64 (74.4) 9 (81.8)	9 (15.3) 20 (11.2) 22 (25.6) 2 (18.2)	1.00 (Ref.) 0.70 (0.30, 1.64) 1.91 (0.81, 4.51) 1.24 (0.23, 6.68)	0.035*	1.00 (Ref.) 0.85 (0.35, 2.10) 2.81 (1.08, 7.27) 2.08 (0.36, 12.15)	0.011*

Table C	D				and the second of	and the second sec	
тарге ь.	Regression	analyses o	n factors	associated	with prec	perative de	pression
						p 0. a c 0 a 0	p. 000.0

*Statistically significant.

As for depression, increasing age, male gender, lower education level, and moderate-to-high level of self-rated fear level were independently predictive of perioperative depression (Table 6). After adjusting for all potential confounders, gender and self-rated fear level were the significant factors associated with perioperative depression. Males had a 2.27 increase in odds to have perioperative depression as compared to females (95% CI: 1.08, 4.74), while patients with moderate and high self-rated fear had 2.81 and 2.08 increase in odds, respectively, to develop perioperative depression as compared to those who reported no fear.



Fig. 1. Reasons underlying fear fear among adults undergoing elective surgery in the study population.

The reasons cited for fear among adults undergoing elective surgery in our study are shown in Figure 1.

Discussion

Prevalence of perioperative anxiety and depression

Compared to global data, our study population had a relatively lower prevalence of perioperative anxiety and depression at 17.4% and 15.6%, respectively. However, the mean score for patients with perioperative depression was relatively higher than those with perioperative anxiety (10.2 versus 9.7).

The variation found in the prevalence of anxiety and depression across different studies may be attributed to the different study instruments being used, such as the State and Trait Anxiety Scale² and the Beck Depression Inventory,¹⁶ as well as the differences in baseline sociodemographic characteristics and cultural beliefs of the study participants. Our study was conducted in a suburban region with lower population density and less demanding lifestyle, hence mental health issues are less prevalent.^{17,18} Despite our prevalence of perioperative depression and anxiety being comparatively lower to other studies, having 1 in every 6 perioperative patients with anxiety and depression is indeed alarming and warrants intervention given the risk of associated adverse outcomes.

Risk factors for perioperative anxiety

We did not find any significant demographic factors associated with perioperative anxiety, similar to several other studies that found equivocal results when comparing prevalence of anxiety based on gender distribution.^{19,20} Oftentimes, women were found to be more anxious than men when scheduled for surgery.^{1,21} Apart from gender, there were also contradictory findings in the role of education level and anxiety. Some studies found higher education level increases anxiety,^{22,23} while others found that education protected against anxiety.^{20,24}

The type of surgery did not predict anxiety, as similarly reported by Woldegerima *et al.*¹⁹ and Erkilic *et al.*²⁵ However, a systematic review on the global prevalence and determinants of anxiety showed that type of surgery significantly increases anxiety perioperatively, with obstetric patients having the highest prevalence.¹ In our study, we did not specifically analyse obstetric patients as a single patient group, which may negate a similar observation. The same systematic review also showed that previous anaesthesia or surgical exposure significantly reduced perioperative anxiety among surgical patients, which was not evident in our study.

Risk factors for perioperative depression

Our study showed that male gender, lower education level, and moderate self-rated fear were significantly associated with perioperative depression. We suggest that

individuals with lower education levels may be less aware of the anticipated perioperative complications, hence may overthink and become dispirited.²² However, education level was not statistically significant upon multiple regression modelling.

We found that male gender was the only statistically significant demographic risk factor for perioperative depression. The unexpected finding of male gender with increased risk for depression contradicts previous research that suggested female preponderance towards perioperative depression.³ Globally, depression in the general population is higher in females,²⁶ but more men died by suicide at a rate of 4 to 5 times more often than women.²⁷ We postulate that depression in males may be underdiagnosed as the typical depressive symptoms such as sadness and crying are at odds with societal ideas of masculinity, leading to reluctance in reporting these symptoms among males. Therefore, assessment of depression using scales that do not include alternative depression symptoms such as anger attacks, aggression, substance abuse, and risk-taking behaviour could possibly result in missed diagnosis of depression in men.²⁸ Men are also less likely to seek help when it comes to perturbed emotional and mental health as compared to women.

Self-rated fear

The most common reason for fear cited in our study population was the fear of surgical complications, which accounts for more than half of all concerns. These include surgical, anaesthetic, and iatrogenic complications. Following, was the fear of the unknown, including fear of "firsts" as well as being unsure of expectations. Many studies have found similar concerns,^{20,21} although each study and population have their own order of frequency and degree. Therefore, we recommend that patients with self-rated moderate to high levels of fear be further assessed using standardised psychometric tools and directed toward psychological experts for further management.

Study highlights and limitations

Our paper presented significant demographic factors that may insinuate patients who could be at increased risk of perioperative depression or anxiety. This information is relevant to our local setting for further risk-stratification and intervention. Apart from that, the level of self-rated fear, which was found significantly predictive of both perioperative depression and anxiety, is a more universal predictor that can be generally applied. It is a simple, easy, and practical screening question that clinicians can ask patients undergoing perioperative assessment. The relationship between fear, anxiety, and depression has been established by several studies in both international²⁹ and local settings.³⁰

Our study is limited due to its observational methodological approach in a single study centre. The skewed distribution of elective surgical grade also hinders generalisability. Despite the limitations, we did provide evidence of significant perioperative anxiety and depression among patients undergoing elective surgery in our local population.

Conclusion

Our study found that 1 in every 6 patients undergoing surgery suffers from perioperative anxiety or depression. Male gender was the only significant demographic risk factor for perioperative depression, while moderate to high levels of self-rated fear were associated with both perioperative anxiety and depression. This alarming finding should prompt effective screening strategies for further risk-stratification and intervention.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Study procedures were performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, with informed and written consent obtained from study participants. The study received ethical approval from the Medical Research and Ethics Committee (MREC) of the Ministry of Health Malaysia (20-258-58809).

Competing interests

None to declare.

Funding

None to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Director General of Health Malaysia for his permission to publish the paper.

References

- Abate SM, Chekol YA, Basu B. Global prevalence and determinants of perioperative anxiety among surgical patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg Open [Internet]. 2020;25:6–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijso.2020.05.010</u>
- 2. Bedaso A, Mekonnen N, Duko B. Prevalence and factors associated with perioperative anxiety among patients undergoing surgery in low-income and middle-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2022;12(3):1–10. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058187</u>
- Geoffrion R, Koenig NA, Zheng M, Sinclair N, Brotto LA, Lee T, Larouche M. Preoperative Depression and Anxiety Impact on Inpatient Surgery Outcomes: A Prospective Cohort Study. Annals of Surgery Open. 2021 Mar 1;2(1):e049. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/AS9.00000000000049</u>
- Sait S, Trabulsi N, Zagzoog M, Mortada H, Altowaireb A, Hemdi A, et al. Prevalence of depression and anxiety disorders among bariatric surgery patients. J Surg Med. 2019;3(8):574–8. https://doi. org/10.28982/josam.604856
- Kim WS, Byeon GJ, Song BJ, Lee HJ. Availability of preoperative anxiety scale as a predictive factor for hemodynamic changes during induction of anesthesia. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2010 Apr 1;58(4):328-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.4097/kjae.2010.58.4.328</u>
- Kocaturk O, Oguz E. The effect of preoperative anxiety on the incidence of perioperative bronchospasm: a prospective observational study. Med Sci. 2017;6(4):746-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.5455/MED-SCIENCE.2017.06.8654</u>
- Ali A, Altun D, Oguz BH, Ilhan M, Demircan F, Koltka K. The effect of perioperative anxiety on postoperative analgesia and anesthesia recovery in patients undergoing laparascopic cholecystectomy. J Anesth. 2014;28(2):222–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00540-013-1712-7</u>
- Huffman JC, Celano CM, Beach SR, Motiwala SR, Januzzi JL. Depression and Cardiac Disease: Epidemiology, Mechanisms, and Diagnosis. Cardiovasc Psychiatry Neurol. 2013 [Online]. http://dx.doi. org/10.1155/2013/695925
- Altuntas E, Kugu N, Kavakci Ö, Müderris S. Effects of the perioperative anxiety and depression on the postoperative pain in ear, nose and throat surgery. Indian J Otol. 2012 Sep 6;18(2):82. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0971-7749.100721</u>
- Ghoneim MM, O'Hara MW. Depression and postoperative complications: an overview. BMC Surg. 2016 Dec;16(1):1-0. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12893-016-0120-y</u>
- Takagi H, Ando T, Umemoto T. Perioperative depression or anxiety and postoperative mortality in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Heart Vessels. 2017;32(12):1458–68. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00380-017-1022-3</u>
- Onyike CU, Crum RM, Lee HB, Lyketsos CG, Eaton WW. Is Obesity Associated with Major Depression? Results from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Am J Epidemiol. 2003;158(12):1139–47. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwg275</u>
- 13. Zigmond AS, Snalth RP. The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 1983;67(6). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.1983.tb09716.x
- Lua PL, Wong SY. The reliability of the Malay versions of Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) and Mcgill Quality of Life Questionnaire (MQO) among a group of patients with cancer in Malaysia. Malaysian J Psychiatry. 2012;21(1):1–13.

- 15. Li XR, Zhang WH, Williams JP, et al. A multicenter survey of perioperative anxiety in China: Pre-and postoperative associations. Journal of Psychosomatic Research. 2021 Aug 1;147:110528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2021.110528</u>
- Ay AA, Ulucanlar H, Ay A, Ozden M. Risk factors for perioperative anxiety in laparoscopic surgery. JSLS: Journal of the Society of Laparoendoscopic Surgeons. 2014 Jul;18(3). <u>https://doi.org/10.4293/2FJSLS.2014.00159</u>
- 17. Government of Perlis. Perlis Strategic Development Plan 2012-2030. 2013;1-28.
- Gruebner O, Rapp MA, Adli M, Kluge U, Galea S, Heinz A. Cities and mental health. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2017;114(8):121–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.3238/2Farztebl.2017.0121</u>
- 19. Woldegerima YB, Fitwi GL, Yimer HT, Hailekiros AG. Prevalence and factors associated with perioperative anxiety among elective surgical patients at University of Gondar Hospital: A cross-sectional study. Int J Surg Open [Internet]. 2018;10:21–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijso.2017.11.001</u>
- 20. Ebirim L, Tobin M. Factors Responsible For Pre-Operative Anxiety In Elective Surgical Patients At A University Teaching Hospital: A Pilot Study. Internet J Anesthesiol. 2012;29(2):2–7.
- 21. Celik F, Edipoglu IS. Evaluation of perioperative anxiety and fear of anesthesia using APAIS score. Eur J Med Res. 2018 Sep 11;23(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s40001-018-0339-4</u>
- 22. Caumo W, Schmidt AP, Schneider CN, Bergmann J, Iwamoto CW, Bandeira D, Ferreira MB. Risk factors for preoperative anxiety in adults. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica. 2001 Mar;45(3):298-307. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1399-6576.2001.045003298.x
- Liu XY, Ma YK, Zhao JC, Wu ZP, Zhang L, Liu LH. Risk Factors for Perioperative Anxiety and Depression in Patients Scheduled for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Repair. Chin Med J (Engl). 2018 Aug 20;131(16):1951–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/0366-6999.238154</u>
- Shoar S, Naderan M, Aghajani M, Sahimi-Izadian E, Hosseini-Araghi N, Khorgami Z. Prevalence and determinants of depression and anxiety symptoms in surgical patients. Oman Med J. 2016 May 1;31(3):176–81. <u>https://doi.org/10.5001/2Fomj.2016.35</u>
- 25. Erkilic E, Kesimci E, Soykut C, Doger C, Gumus T, Kanbak O. Factors associated with perioperative anxiety levels of Turkish surgical patients: from a single center in Ankara. Patient Prefer Adherence [Internet]. 2017;11:291–6.
- 26. World Health Organization. Depression and other common mental disorders: global health estimates. World Health Organization; 2017.
- 27. Cochran SV, Rabinowitz FE. Gender-sensitive recommendations for assessment and treatment of depression in men. Prof Psychol Res Pract. 2003;34(2):132–40. <u>https://psycnet.apa.org/</u> <u>doi/10.1037/0735-7028.34.2.132</u>
- Martin LA, Neighbors HW, Griffith DM. The experience of symptoms of depression in men vs women: Analysis of the national comorbidity survey replication. JAMA Psychiatry. 2013;70(10):1100–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.1985</u>
- Mertens G, Gerritsen L, Duijndam S, Salemink E, Engelhard IM. Fear of the coronavirus (COVID-19): Predictors in an online study conducted in March 2020. J Anxiety Disord. 2020 Aug 1;74:102258. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102258</u>
- Pang NTP, Kamu A, Hambali NLB, et al. Malay Version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale: Validity and Reliability. Int J Ment Health Addict. 2022;20(1):263–72. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11469-020-00373-2</u>

Appendix

Sa	ya berasa tertekan / tersepit / serabut:	А	Saya berasa kurang / tidak secergas dahulu:	
	Sepanjang masa	3	 Hampir sepanjang masa 	
	Banyak kali / kerapkali	2	 Kerap kali 	
	Kadang-kadang	1	 Kadang-kadang 	
	Tiada langsung	0	 Tidak langsung 	
Sa	a masih seronok melakukan perkara yang			
dal	hulunya menyeronokkan:	D	Saya berasa takut / berdebar-debar / gementar	
0	Seperti dahulu/biasa (tiada perubahan)	0	 Tidak langsung 	
0	Tidak seseronok dahulu	1	Jarang-jarang	
	Seronok sedikit sahaja	2	 Agak kerap 	
	Tidak lagi/hampir tiada lagi keseronokan	3	 Kerap kali 	
Sa	ya selalu berasa ketakutan seolah-olah seperti		Saya sudah hilang minat terhadap keterampilar	n
ses	suatu yang buruk akan berlaku:	A	diri sendiri:	
	Sememangnya dan amat teruk sekali	3	 Sememangnya agak kurang minat dari 	
	Ya tetapi tidaklah terlalu teruk	2	biasa	
	Ada sedikit tetapi tidak membimbangkan	1	 Kurang minat dari biasa / yang seharusnya 	a
	sava	0	 Kadang-kadang mungkin kurang minat dar 	ri
0	Tidak ada langsung		biasa	
			 Tidak hilang minat – masih seperti biasa 	
			Sava berasa tidak tenang / gelisah / seolah-	
Sa	va boleh ketawa dan dapat menyukai /		olah sava perlu sentiasa membuat keria /	
Na	mpak perkara-perkara yang melucukan:	D	bergerak:	
	Sememangnya seperti dahulu		 Sememangnya banyak kali 	
	Tidaklah seperti dahulu	4	 Agak kerap 	
	Sememangnya tidak seperti dahulu	5	 Tidak terlalu kerap 	
	Hanya kadang-kadang	3	 Tidak langsung 	
			Saya sentiasa mengharapkan keceriaan /	
Pe	rkara-perkara yang merisaukan /		kegembiraan apabila melakukan sesuatu	
me	mbimbangkan kerap bermain di fikiran saya:	A.	perkara:	
0	Hampir sepanjang masa	3.	 Sama seperti dahulu 	
	Banyak kali	2	 Tidak seperti dahulu 	
	Dari masa kesemasa	1	 Sememangnya amat kurang daripada 	
	Hanya jarang-jarang / kadang-kadang	0	dahulu	
			 Tidak / hampir tidak berasa ceria langsung 	ž.
			Saya mengalami panik / keadaan gementar	
Sa	va berasa ceria:	D	secara tiba-tiba:	
0	Tidak ada langsung		Sememangnya banyak kali / kerap kali	
	Tidak selalu	3	 Agak kerap 	
0	Kadang-kadang	1	Tidak kerap / kadang-kadang	
	Sepanjang masa	0	 Tidak pernah langsung 	
			Sava dapat merasal nikmat / keseronokan	
			apabila melakukan sesuatu seperti membaca	
Sa	ya boleh berasa relaks dan duduk dengan		buku yang menarik / mendengar radio /	
sel	esa:	A	menonton rancangan televisyen yang menarik:	
0	Sememangnya		Kerap kali	
-	Selalunya / kerap kali	0	Kadang-kadang	
-	Tidak selalu / kadang-kadang	1	Tidak selalu	
11	Tidak boleh langsung	10	 Jarang-jarang sekali 	
214	The second second			

HOSPITAL ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION SCALE (HADS) - MALAY VERSION

Fariza Yahya, Zahiruddin Othman. Validation of the Malay Version of Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia. International Medical Journal 2015; 22(2): 80-82.